

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Vignita 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/076,363 02/15/2002		W. Peter Hansen	2004229-0031	1493	
24280	7590	09/24/2003			
Choate, Ha		ırt	EXAMINER		
Exchange Place 53 State Street				NGUYEN, SANG H	
Boston, MA 02109			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2877	
				DATE MAILED: 09/24/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
! 	10/076,363	HANSEN ET AL					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
<u> </u>	Sang H Nguyen	2877					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply		with the correspondence address ว สณสุร SN					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1,13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than tairty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1704(b)	B6(a), in no event, however, ma within the statutory minimum of rill apply and will expire SIX (6) N cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed  thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  B ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 F</u>	Sohruary 2002						
	is action is non-final.						
·		matters, presequition as to the morite is					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> . 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-47 is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 1-47 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1 85(a)							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner							
!f approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in	n Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
i 14)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTC-892) 1-2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC-948) 1-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC-1449) Paper Nc(s)	5; 🔲 Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTC-152)					
U.S. Pateri, and Trademork Citigo							

Art Unit: 2877

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- 1. Claims 1-14, drawn to a system for sorting multicellular organisms comprising a population of multicellular organisms and an instrument for detecting a location of spatially distinct, optical detectable, phenotypic characteristics and for orienting the worm along its longitudinal axis, classified in class 356, subclass 73.
- II. Claims 15-22, drawn to an instrument for analyzing and selectively dispensing elongate multicellular organisms having a source containing multicellular organisms, means for causing a fluid suspension, means for aligning the elongate multicellular organisms, a light source, a first detector, and a fluid switch downstream of a point, classified in class 356, subclass 39.
- III. Claims 23-47, drawn to a process for analyzing elongate multicellular organisms by flow cytometry, classified in class 800, subclass 13.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and (II and III) are related as combination and subcombination.

Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other

Art Unit: 2877

combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the Patentability of the combination (Group I) does not required a particular of the subcombinations as claimed (Group II and III). The subcombination has separate utility such as laser scanning device, fluorometers, quantum counters, and capillary electrophoresis detectors.

Inventions II and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another different process, for example, the multicellular organisms apparatus can be processed biochemical pathways in vito. Caenorhabditis elegans, or drug discovery.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This applicant contains claims directed to following patentably distinct species of claimed invention: If applicant is elected by group III (claims 23-47), following election of species is required:

Art Unit: 2877

- a. Claim 23-35, draw to a process for analyzing elongate multicellular organisms by cytometry comprising creating a population of test organisms, subjecting the population to a test treatment, analyzing members of population of the test organisms, and using the detected marker pattern to determine status of the second feature on each of the members analyzed.
- b. Claim 36-47; draw to a process for preparing a model strain of elongate multicellular organisms having creating a marker pattern, creating a test strain of organisms, and creating a model strain by combing the marker pattern from the marker strain with the test features from the test strain so that each organism of the model strain displays both the marker pattern and at least one test pattern.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the

Art Unit: 2877

elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Brenda Herschbach Jarrell, Ph.D. on 09/16/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 2877

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sang H Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-308-6426. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9AM-6PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank Font can be reached on 703-308-4881. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is -.

Nguyen, Sang

09/17/2003

Frank & Fort

Frank 6. Her Supervisors and Technology (1997)